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The data acquisition problem

- U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland
- Automating the production of bibliographic records for MEDLINE, a database of references in medical journals.
- 12 millions of citations drawn 4,600 journals
- 40,000 records a month
- Creating online bibliographic databases from paper-based journal articles continues to be heavily manual.

The data acquisition problem

- Numerous valuable historic and cultural sources are imperiled and scattered in various national archives
- Full knowledge and usage of this material are severely impeded by access problems due to:
  - difficult-to-use or electronically unavailable sources, i.e. both documents and formal reference systems
  - the lack of appropriate content-based search and retrieval aids that would help users find what they really need.
**The data communication problem**

- Web-accessible format: HTML, XML, ...
- Why not document images?
  - Slow
  - Not editable
  - Sequential structure (no hypertext)
- Information retrieval of XML documents is easier
  - XML-QL is a one of the query languages used to express database-style queries in XML documents.
- Commercial OCR systems are still far away from performing satisfactorily the conversion into XML format.

**The representation of extracted information: a key issue**

- A suitable representation for semi-structured documents
- Web accessibility and easier retrieval
- Extensibility and generality
- Need to:
  - Define hypertext structures
  - Store additional information on the semantics of text
  - Represent the logical structure of documents

XML is a natural choice
Transforming paper documents into HTML/XML format: a simple task?

- The presentation on the browser is not similar in appearance to the original document (different layout or geometrical structure).
- Rendering problems, such as missing graphical components, wrong reading ordering in two-columned papers, missing indentation, ...
- No style sheet is associated to documents saved in HTML format, so that the presentation of textual information cannot be customized for viewing.
- The HTML language cannot represent the logical document structure (title, author, abstract, ...)
**Document processing steps: required knowledge.**

```
```

**Acquiring required knowledge: a machine learning approach**

- **Problem:** Knowledge acquisition for “intelligent” document processing systems.
- **Solution:** Machine learning algorithms, in particular symbolic inductive learning techniques
- **Justification:** Symbolic learning techniques generate human-comprehensible hypotheses of the underlying patterns (*comprehensibility postulate*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Related Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document analysis</td>
<td>Induction of decision trees</td>
<td>• Incrementality&lt;br&gt;• Space efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document classification and understanding</td>
<td>Induction of first-order rules</td>
<td>• Repr. language&lt;br&gt;• Dependencies among concepts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**WISDOM++**

- Document analysis system
  - Document analysis
  - Document classification
  - Document understanding
  - Text recognition with an OCR
  - Transformation of the document into HTML/XML format
- Distinguishing features
  - Adaptivity ⇐ machine learning tools & techniques
  - Interactivity ⇐ glass-box model
  
  www.di.uniba.it/~malerba/wisdom++/

---

**Acquiring required knowledge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Knowledge technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>classification of basic-blocks</td>
<td>the decision tree learning system ITI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>layout analysis</td>
<td>a production-system which operates with a forward-chaining control structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automatic global layout analysis correction</td>
<td>the inductive logic programming system ATRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semantic indexing (document image classification and understanding)</td>
<td>a knowledge-based system which contains explicitly represented rules and supports inference by means of theorem proving mechanisms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
System architecture (1)

Pre-processing

**Input:** page image (TIFF format, 300 dpi, ~1 Mb)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of the skew angle</td>
<td>Alignment measure based on the horizontal projection profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>Rotation based on the skew angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computation of the spread factor</td>
<td>Ratio of the mean distance between peaks and peak width</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Output:** pre-processed page image
**System architecture (2)**

![System architecture diagram]

**Segmentation**

**Input:** pre-processed page image

**Problem**
Identification of rectangular blocks enclosing content portions

**Solution**
Variant of the *Run Length Smoothing Algorithm* where:
- the image is scanned only twice (instead of 4 times) with no additional cost
- the smoothing parameters are defined on the ground of the spread factor

**Output:** segmented page image
Block classification

**Input:** segmented page image (unclassified blocks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labeling blocks</td>
<td>Decision tree classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>according to the type of content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>text block</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horizontal line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vertical line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>picture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graphics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Output:** segmented page image (classified blocks)

Learning decision trees for blocks classification

- The classifier is a decision tree automatically built from a set of training examples (blocks) of the five classes.
- Two approaches to decision-tree induction:
  - **Batch learning**: examples are considered all together to build the decision tree
  - **Incremental**: the current decision tree is revised in response to each newly training example presented to the system

ITI (Utgoff, 1994) is the only incremental decision tree learning system that handles numerical data.
**Block classification**

- **Features to describe blocks:**
  - height, length and area of the image block;
  - eccentricity: length/height;
  - total number of black pixels in the image block;
  - total number of black-white transitions in all rows of the image block;
  - percentage of black pixels per area;
  - mean_tr: blackpix/bw_trans;
  - F1: short run emphasis;
  - F2: long run emphasis;
  - F3: extra long run emphasis.

- **Labels of blocks (result of the classification):**
  - text block
  - horizontal line
  - vertical line
  - picture
  - graphics

---

**Decision trees**

Each internal node tests an attribute

Each branch corresponds to an attribute value

Each leaf node assigns a classification

- Each path (root→leaf) is a conjunction of attribute tests, e.g.,
  - Outlook=Sunny AND Humidity=Normal

- The whole tree is the disjunction of these conjunctions.
  - (Outlook=Sunny AND Humidity=Normal) OR (...).
Normal vs. Error-correction mode

- ITI can operate in three different ways
  - Batch
  - Incremental
    - **Normal**: both examples misclassified and examples correctly classified are used to update the tree.
    - **Error-correction**: only examples misclassified are used to update the tree.

- Normal operation mode returns trees equal to the batch mode (presentation order invariance)
- Error-correction mode is affected by the order in which examples are presented.

System architecture (3)
**System architecture (4)**

![Diagram](image-url)

**Document Classification & Understanding**

**Input:** segmented page image (layout components)

The application of machine learning techniques to a *layout-based classification and understanding* requires a suitable representation of:

- the layout structure of the training documents
- the rules induced from the training documents

**Requirements**

- Capturing spatial relationships between layout components
- Efficient handling of numerical descriptors

**Output:** segmented page image (logical components)
**Document Classification & Understanding: The representation problem**

**Zero-order representation** vs **First-order representation**
- Language primitives: attributes
- Expressive power: properties of a single layout component
- Language primitives: attributes & relations
- Expressive power: properties of a single layout component & spatial relationships between logical components

**Numeric/symbolic representation**
- System: ATRE (Malerba, 1997)
  - Discretization of numerical attributes
  - Recursion and concepts dependencies

**Examples of document descriptions in FOL**

```
class_of(b1, d1) :-
  part_of(d1, b3),
  width(b3, 103),
  height(b3, 35),
  type_of_text(b3),
  x_pos_center(b3, 115),
  y_pos_center(b3, 323),
  part_of(d1, b4),
  width(b4, 87),
  height(b4, 57),
  type_of_text(b4),
  x_pos_center(b4, 297),
  y_pos_center(b4, 340),
  on_right(b3, b4),
  to_right(b3, b4),
  ...
```

Relative position between b3 and b4
Document Understanding
Dependencies among logical components

Learning rules for document understanding is
more difficult than
learning rules for document classification

Why?
Logical components refer to a part of the document rather
than to the whole document and may be related each other

\[ \text{logic_type}(X) = \text{body} \leftarrow \text{to_right}(Y,X), \text{logic_type}(Y) = \text{abstract} \]

How to handle dependencies?
ATRE learns multiple dependent concepts starting from a set of
training documents labeled by the expert user.

Which impact on experimental results?
Experimental results confirm that by taking into account concept
dependencies it is possible to improve the predictive accuracy of
the document understanding rules.

System architecture (5)
Generation of the XML document: the Document Type Definition (DTD)

<!-- standard DTD file for tpami class -->
<ELEMENT tpami (logic-structure?, geometric-structure?)>
<ELEMENT logic-structure (title | author | abstract)?>
<ELEMENT title (paragraph)?>
<!ATTLIST title ID NMTOKEN #IMPLIED>
<ELEMENT author (paragraph)?>
<!ATTLIST author ID NMTOKEN #IMPLIED>
<ELEMENT abstract (paragraph)?>
<!ATTLIST abstract ID NMTOKEN #IMPLIED>
<ELEMENT paragraph (#PCDATA | TAB)>*
<ELEMENT TAB EMPTY>
<ELEMENT geometric-structure (image, blocklevels)>*
<ELEMENT image EMPTY>*
<!ATTLIST image... >
<ELEMENT blocklevels (basic-block, line, setofline, frame1, frame2)*>
.....

Generation of the XML document: the XML file (eXtensible Markup Language)

<?xml-stylesheet href="icml16.XSL" type="text/xsl"?>
<!DOCTYPE icml SYSTEM "icml.DTD">
<icml>
<page-number/><paragraph>108</paragraph></page-number>
<title><paragraph>K*: An Instance-based Learner Using an Entropic Distance Measure</paragraph>
<paragraph></paragraph></title>
<author ID="id4">John G. Cleary</author>
<paragraph>Dept. of Computer Science</paragraph>
<paragraph>University of Waikato</paragraph>
<paragraph>New Zealand</paragraph>
<paragraph>jcleary@waikato.ac.nz</paragraph>
...
Generation of the XML document: the XSL file (eXtensible Style Language)

```xml
<?xml version='1.0'?>
<xsl:stylesheet xmlns:xsl='http://www.w3.org/TR/WD-xsl'>
  <xsl:template match='/'>
  <HTML>
   <HEAD>
   <TITLE>K*: An Instance-based Learner Using an Entropic Distance Measure</TITLE>
   <LINK rel="stylesheet" href="icml.css"></LINK>
   </HEAD>
   <BODY TEXT="BLACK" bgcolor="WHITE">
   <TABLE WIDTH='100%' BORDER='0'>
   <TR>
   <TD WIDTH='99%'></TD>
   <TD WIDTH='0%' VALIGN='TOP'><BR/>
   <IMG SRC="icml16j11.jpg"/>
   <TD WIDTH='1%'></TD>
   </TR>
   ... 
```

Generation of the XML document: the CSS file (Cascading Style Sheets)

```css
TD {font: 7pt Times New Roman; text-align: justify;}
TD.title {font-size: 14pt; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;}
TD.author {font-size: 12pt; text-align: center;}
TD.abstract {font-size: 11pt;}
TD.body {font-size: 12pt;}
TD.page-number {font-size: 10pt;}
BR {font-size: 3pt;}
```
The database component

- Functional omogeneity with both ORACLE DB and MS-Access
  - Design of schemas for all information
- ODBC interface
- Intensive use of database
  - Rules, documents, users, layout, text, ...
- Number of accesses to the database optimized
  - Layout of the whole multipage document loaded in main memory
  - store procedures for layout loading
  - indexes definition on external keys
The SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) client

- SOAP provides a simple and lightweight mechanism for exchanging structured and typed information between peers in a decentralized, distributed environment using XML.

- SOAP defines a simple mechanism for expressing application semantics by providing a modular packaging model and encoding mechanisms for encoding data within modules. This allows SOAP to be used in a large variety of systems ranging from messaging systems to RPC.
Conclusions

Empirical results prove the applicability of symbolic learning techniques to the problem of automating the capture of data contained in a document image

Research issues

- The space inefficiency of incremental decision tree learning systems when examples are described by many numerical features
- The importance of first-order symbolic/numeric descriptions for document classification and understanding
- The importance of taking into account dependencies among logical components for document understanding

Future work

- Segmentation algorithm handling color images and forms, where textual content is typically surrounded by frames
- Application of similar techniques (classification, understanding, etc.) to map processing in GIS applications and to web document processing
- Design of an information retrieval component to extract information not-retrievable through layout structure